

ulm university universität

Stability Analysis of Recurrent Neural Networks with Time-Varying Activation Functions

Mohamad Mostafa, Werner G. Teich, Jürgen Lindner

INDS'11 & ISTET'11 Klagenfurt, July 25-27, 2011







Outline





6 Conclusions

CRSITA

Transmission Model



transmitter 2

ITUU Information Technology · Ulm University

Transmission Model





$$\frac{\tilde{x}}{\tilde{x}} = \underline{\underline{R}} \cdot \underline{x} + \underline{\underline{n}}_{e}$$

$$x_{i} \in \mathbb{A}_{x} = \{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{M}\}$$

$$a_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \underline{\tilde{x}} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$$

There are M^n possible <u>x</u>



Why a vector equalizer is needed?





Why a vector equalizer is needed?





Why a vector equalizer is needed?



M.Mostafa, W.G. Teich, J. Lindner · Stability Analysis of Recurrent Neural Networks with Time-Varying Activation Functions





Given $\underline{\tilde{x}}$ and $\underline{\underline{R}}$ what is the most likely transmitted vector?







Given $\underline{\tilde{x}}$ and \underline{R} what is the most likely transmitted vector?

Maximum Likelyhood Vector Equalizer

- Optimal performance
- High Complexity

There are M^n possible <u>x</u>







Given $\underline{\tilde{x}}$ and \underline{R} what is the most likely transmitted vector?

Maximum Likelyhood Vector Equalizer

- Optimal performance
- High Complexity
- Recurrent Neural Networks
 - Good Performance
 - Less Complexity

There are M^n possible <u>x</u>

Recurrent Neural Network





Recurrent Neural Network



Recurrent Neural Network





Stability of the Recurrent Neural Network

Time-invariant activation function:

• Weight matrix:
$$\underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}} = \left\{ \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}} \right\}^T$$

• $w_{ii} \ge 0$

• invertible activation function

The RNN is stable

• Corresponding Lyapunov functions have been found

ITUU Information Technology · Ulm University

Recurrent Neural Network as Vector Equalizer





•
$$\underline{w}_{=0} = \underline{\underline{R}}_{diag}^{-1}$$
 • $\underline{e} = \underline{\tilde{x}}$
• $\underline{w} = \underline{I} - \underline{\underline{R}}_{diag}^{-1} \cdot \underline{\underline{R}}$ • $\varphi(.)$?

$$\underline{\underline{D}} = \underline{\underline{R}}_{diag} \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}} = \underline{\underline{R}}_{diag} - \underline{\underline{R}} \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}} = \left\{ \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}} \right\}^T$$

Optimal Activation Function



For real-valued modulation scheme:

- $\mathbb{A}_x = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_M\}$
- $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$

•
$$M = 2^p, p \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}$$

$$v = \varphi(u) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k \cdot \exp\left\{\beta \cdot a_k \left(2u - a_k\right)\right\}}{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \exp\left\{\beta \cdot a_k \left(2u - a_k\right)\right\}}$$

 $0 < \varphi'(u) \le \beta \Rightarrow \varphi(\cdot)$ is invertible

Optimal Activation Function



For real-valued modulation scheme:

• $\mathbb{A}_x = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_M\}$ • $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$

•
$$M = 2^p, p \in \mathbb{N}/\{0\}$$

$$v = \varphi(u) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{M} a_k \cdot \exp\left\{\beta \cdot a_k \left(2u - a_k\right)\right\}}{\sum_{k=1}^{M} \exp\left\{\beta \cdot a_k \left(2u - a_k\right)\right\}}$$

 $0 < \varphi'(u) \le \beta \Rightarrow \varphi(\cdot)$ is invertible Example: $\mathbb{A}_x = \{-3, -1, 1, 3\}$



Slope's Adaptation



Adapting the slope β :

- Constant slope: By simulations
- **2** Time-dependent slope $\beta(t)$:
 - Increasing slope: By simulations
 - Noise and Interference dependent slope $\beta(t) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2(t)}$

Slope's Adaptation



Adapting the slope β :

- Constant slope: By simulations
- **2** Time-dependent slope $\beta(t)$:
 - Increasing slope: By simulations
 - Noise and Interference dependent slope $\beta(t) \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2(t)}$

Time dependent activation functions

The stability is not proven!!

ITUU Information Technology · Ulm University

Stability with Time varying Activation Functions



$$G_{\beta}(x) = \int_0^x \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}(\psi) \cdot d\psi$$

•
$$G_{\beta}(x_2) - G_{\beta}(x_1) \le G'_{\beta}(x_2) \cdot (x_2 - x_1)$$

• $G_{\beta_1}(x) \ge G_{\beta_2}(x) \Leftrightarrow \beta_2 > \beta_1$



Stability with Time varying Activation Functions



• Take the Lyapunov function in case of time-invariant slope $E\left[\underline{v}(t)\right] = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \underline{v}^{T}(t) \cdot \left\{\underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}}\right\} \cdot \underline{v}(t) - \underline{e}^{T} \cdot \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{v}(t) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{ll} \cdot G_{\beta}\left[v_{l}(t)\right]$

Modify them to time-variant slopes

$$E\left[\underline{v}(t)\right] = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot \underline{v}^{T}(t) \cdot \left\{\underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{\underline{w}}\right\} \cdot \underline{v}(t) - \underline{e}^{T} \cdot \underline{\underline{D}} \cdot \underline{v}(t) + \sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{ll} \cdot G_{\beta(t)}\left[v_{l}(t)\right]$$

ITUU Information Technology · Ulm University

Stability with Time varying Activation Functions



 Find conditions on the slope's time variations, which keeps the stability

$$\frac{E\left[\underline{v}(t)\right]}{dt} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial E\left[\underline{v}\right]}{\partial v_{l}} \cdot \frac{dv_{l}(t)}{dt}$$
$$= -\sum_{l=1}^{n} \tau_{l} \cdot d_{ll} \cdot \frac{du_{l}(t)}{dt} \cdot \frac{dv_{l}(t)}{dt}$$

$$\frac{E\left[\underline{v}(t)\right]}{dt} \le 0 \Rightarrow \frac{d\beta(t)}{dt} \ge 0$$



RNN as vector equalizer

Conclusion

- RNNs with time-variant activation function are locally stable:
 ⇒ The slope of the activation function must be nondecreasing
- Adapting the activation function during the time:
 ⇒ performance improvement
 - ⇒ Better local minima

Thank you for the attention, Questions?