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## 

Was jedermann für ausgemacht hält, verdient am meisten untersucht zu werden.

Georg Ch. Lichtenberg Physiker und Schriftsteller

"What everybody takes as assured, deserves most to be investigated."

Georg Ch. Lichtenberg, physicist and writer, 1742-1799

## Overview

1. The concept of field velocity of an electromagnetic (EM) field.

Rest field, rest charge density, rest current density.
2. Some properties of the field velocity and the rest field.
3. Mechanistic properties of EM field.
4. Autonomous (self-sustaining), especially basal EM fields.
5. EM fluid and relativistic interpretation.
6. Rotating EM field: model for electron, positron.
7. Electromagnetic model of photon.
8. Movement of EM particles in external fields
9. Aspects of generalizing Maxwell's equations
10. Aspects of wave mechanics.
11. Concluding remarks.

Maxwell's equations of electromagnetic (EM) field, assuming $\varepsilon$ and $\mu$ constant (here always: vacuum, thus $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{0}, \mu=\mu_{0}$ ),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{i}=\nabla \times \mathbf{H}, & \varepsilon \nabla^{\top} \mathbf{E}=\mathrm{q}, \quad \nabla=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)^{\top} \\
\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathbf{H}=-\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad \nabla^{\top} \mathbf{H}=0, \quad \mathrm{c}^{2}=1 / \varepsilon \mu
\end{array}
$$

From there, as known,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{j}+\left(\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\top}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{0} \text { (valid for Cartesian coordinates), } \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{w}+\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{S}+\mathbf{i}^{\top} \mathbf{E}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon E^{2}+\mu H^{2}\right)=$ classical field-energy density, $\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}=$ Poynting vector, $\mathrm{E}^{2}=\mathbf{E}^{\top} \mathbf{E}, \mathrm{H}^{2}=\mathbf{H}^{\top} \mathbf{H}$, $\mathbf{j}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \mathbf{S}=$ classical momentum density of EM field, $T_{C}=w 1-\left(\varepsilon E E^{\top}+\mu H H^{\top}\right)=(-)$ Maxwell stress tensor (matrix), $\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{qE}+\mu \mathbf{i} \times \mathbf{H}=$ classical Lorentz-force density.
Note: small letters i, j, f, q, w etc. used for densities. 1= unit matrix.

Original set of equations

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}+\mathbf{i}=\nabla \times \mathbf{H}, & \varepsilon \nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E}=q \\
\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{H}=-\nabla \times \mathbf{E}, & \nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}=0
\end{array}
$$

is equivalent to set of equations (7 individual ones)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} & =-\mathbf{i}+\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \\
\mu \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial t} & =-\nabla \times \mathbf{E} \\
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} & =-\nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

combined with the initial conditions (at $t=t_{0}$ )

$$
\varepsilon \nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{E}=q \quad \text { and } \quad \nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{H}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad t=t_{0}
$$

Summary of previous results and observations:
From Maxwell's
equations $\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{j}+\left(\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)^{\top}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{0} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathbf{w}+\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{S}+\mathbf{i}^{\top} \mathbf{E}=0 & \text { (1), }\end{cases}$


1. Proper mechanistic interpretation of (1) $+(2)$ impossible since in (1), no term concerning flow (convection) of momentum, in (2), no term concerning work done by stress tensor.
2. Need a field velocity $\mathbf{v}$ locally associated with $\mathbf{j}$.
3. Viewpoint adopted in this presentation: $q$ and $i$ are properties of field, not sources.
4. Later more specifically: field is autonomous, basal.

Mathematical definition of field velocity, rest field, etc.:
At arbitrary location $P$ (world point, i.e., position and time)
define: $\quad \frac{2 \mathbf{v}}{1+\beta^{2}}=\frac{\mathbf{S}}{w}, \quad \mathbf{v}=$ field velocity, thus $\mathbf{v} \| \mathbf{S}$,
$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{O}}=\frac{1}{\alpha}(\mathbf{E}+\mu \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H})=\underline{\gamma} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{O}}=$ rest electric field
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{O}} \times \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathbf{0}$
$H_{0}=\frac{1}{\alpha}(H-\varepsilon \mathbf{V} \times E)=\underline{\gamma} H_{O}=$ rest magnetic field
$\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{O}}=w_{\mathrm{O}} \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}=1-2 \underline{\gamma} \underline{\gamma}^{\top}, \quad w_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon E_{o}^{2}+\mu H_{O}^{2}\right), \underline{\gamma}=$ unit vector

$$
E_{O}^{2}=E_{O}^{\top} E_{O}, \quad H_{O}^{2}=H_{O}^{\top} H_{O}, w_{O}=\text { rest energy density }
$$

$$
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{O}}=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{q}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \mathbf{v}^{\top} \mathbf{i}\right) \quad=\text { rest charge density }
$$

$$
\mathbf{i}_{0}=\mathbf{i}-\frac{q+q_{0}}{1+\alpha} \mathbf{v} \quad=\text { rest current density }
$$

where $\beta=v / c, \quad v^{2}=\mathbf{v}^{\top} \mathbf{v}, \quad \alpha=\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}, \underline{\gamma}^{\top} \underline{\gamma}=1$

$$
\mathbf{S}=\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}, \quad \mathbf{w}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon \mathbf{E}^{2}+\mu \mathbf{H}^{2}\right), \quad \mathbf{E}^{2}=\mathbf{E}^{\top} \mathbf{E}, \quad \mathbf{H}^{2}=\mathbf{H}^{\top} \mathbf{H} .
$$

Have: $\quad w_{0}=0 \Leftrightarrow v= \pm c ; E_{0}^{2}, H_{0}^{2}, w_{0}, T_{0}^{\top} T_{0}$ : Lorentz invariant. Feraa.cdr

Summary of mechanistic interpretation:
Have $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{j}+\left(\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{v j} \mathbf{j}^{\top}\right)\right)^{\top}+\left(\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{T}_{0}\right)^{\top}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{0}$,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} w+\nabla^{\top}(w \mathbf{v})+\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{v}\right)+\mathbf{i}^{\top} \mathbf{E}=0
$$

with $\quad \mathbf{j}=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \mathbf{S}=m_{i} \mathbf{v}, \quad m_{i}=\frac{m_{0}}{\alpha^{2}}, \alpha=\sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}, \quad \beta=\frac{v}{c}$

$$
w_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon E_{o}^{2}+\mu H_{o}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} m_{o} c^{2}, \quad m_{o}=\left.m_{i}\right|_{v=0}
$$

$$
w=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon E^{2}+\mu H^{2}\right)=w_{i}+w_{k e}=w_{o} \frac{1+\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}
$$

$w_{k}=w-w_{o}=\frac{2 \beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} w_{0}=$ kinetic energy density
$W=\int_{V} w d V=$ total energy of EM field, etc.
Consistent mechanistic interpretation possible only if adopt for relativistic dynamics not classical, but alternative theory.
Contradicts known experiments?
Crucial question: How can this conflict be resolved?

Henceforth, always assume EM field basal: $\mathbf{i}_{0}=\mathbf{0}$.
The (nonlinear!) flow equations etc. then simplify to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathbf{j}+\left(\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{j}^{\top}\right)\right)^{\top}+\left(\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{O}}\right)^{\top}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathbf{0}  \tag{1}\\
& \text { (2) } \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathbf{w}+\nabla^{\top}(\mathbf{w} \mathbf{v})+\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{v}\right)=0 \\
& \text { (3) } \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathbf{q}+\nabla^{\top}(\mathrm{q} \mathbf{v})=0 \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j=m_{i}=\frac{m_{0}}{\alpha^{2}}, \quad m_{o}=\frac{2}{c^{2}} w_{0}, w=w_{o} \frac{1+\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \\
& \mathbf{T}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{O}} \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{U}=1-2 \underline{\gamma} \underline{\gamma}^{\top}, \underline{\gamma}^{\top} \underline{\gamma}=1, \quad \underline{\gamma}^{\top} \mathbf{v}=0 \\
& \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}=\underline{\gamma} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}, \quad \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+\mu \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comments: 1. All convective flows occur with $\mathbf{v}$.
2. In (1), forces depend only on rest field.
3. Eq. (2) : only surface forces, not $f_{0}$. 4. Have 7 unknowns.

Recall energy equation for basal EM field:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathbf{w}+\nabla^{\top}(\mathbf{w} \mathbf{v})+\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{T}_{0} \mathbf{v}\right)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, w changes due to two entirely different causes:

1. Convective flow with velocity $\mathbf{v}$ : term $\nabla^{\top}(\mathbf{w} \mathbf{v})$
2. Work done by surface forces: term $\nabla^{\top}\left(T_{0} \mathbf{v}\right)$

But can combine these two phenomena into single one.
Have indeed $T_{0} \mathbf{v}=w_{0} \mathbf{v}$, thus

$$
w v+T_{0} v=\left(w+w_{0}\right) \mathbf{v}=\frac{2 v}{1+\beta^{2}} w
$$

Find: $\frac{2 \mathbf{v}}{1+\beta^{2}}=$ effective energy velocity $=c \frac{2 \underline{\beta}}{1+\beta^{2}}$

$$
=\frac{\mathbf{S}}{w}=v_{c}=c \underline{\beta}_{c}=\text { classical energy velocity, } \mathbf{v}=c \underline{\beta}
$$

(1) yields:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{w}+\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathrm{w} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)=0 \quad \text { (basal field!), }
$$

which describes pure flow of energy with velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{C}}$.

Recall: $\quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{2 \mathbf{v}}{1+\beta^{2}}=$ effective energy velocity
= classical energy velocity,

Then: $\quad \alpha_{c}=\sqrt{1-\beta_{c}^{2}}=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{1+\beta^{2}}, \quad \beta_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}=\underline{\beta}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\top} \underline{\beta}_{\mathrm{c}}, \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{c} \underline{\beta}_{\mathrm{c}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{j}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \mathbf{S}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{v}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{co}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{c}}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{c}}, \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{co}}}{\alpha_{\mathrm{c}}} \\
& w=\frac{w_{0}}{a_{c}}, \quad w_{0}=\frac{1}{2} m_{o} c^{2}=m_{c o} c^{2}, \quad m_{o}=2 m_{c o} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{O}}=\left.\mathrm{w}\right|_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{0}}, \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{CO}}=\left.\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}\right|_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathbf{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence: Use of $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}$ yields expressions better reminiscent of classical relativistic dynamics, with $\mathbf{j}$ and w assuming strictly the same values as before.
However: Cannot build consistent fluid-dynamic analogy since flow of $\mathbf{j}$ and $q$ occurs with $\mathbf{v}$, not $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

Solution: 2 levels of observation, primary and secondary.

1. Primary or basic level of observation of basal EM field:
$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{j}+\left(\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{j}^{\top}\right)\right)^{\top}+\left(\nabla^{\top} \mathbf{T}_{0}\right)^{\top}+\mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{o}}=\mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{j}=m_{i} \mathbf{v}, \quad m_{i}=\frac{m_{0}}{\alpha^{2}}$
$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{w}+\nabla^{\top}(\mathrm{w} \mathbf{v})+\nabla^{\top}\left(\mathbf{T}_{0} \mathbf{v}\right)=0, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{q}+\nabla^{\top}(q \mathbf{v})=0, \quad \mathbf{i}=q \mathbf{v}$
Observed at this basic level, the field behaves like an
EM fluid with flow velocity $\mathbf{v}$.
Analogy based on alternative relativistic dynamics.
2. Secondary level of observation of basal EM field:
$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{w}+\nabla^{\top}\left(w \mathbf{v}_{c}\right)=0, \quad w=m_{c} c^{2}, \quad j=m_{c} \mathbf{v}_{c}, \quad m_{c}=\frac{m_{c o}}{\alpha_{c}}=\frac{m_{0}}{2 \alpha_{c}}$
Observed at this secondary level, changes of energy appear to be combined into a single overall flow with effective velocity = classical energy velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}$.
Analogy based on classical relativistic dynamics.

Above results suggest for EM particles (electrons, photons...):
EM particles have inner structure consisting of condensed
EM field that is strictly governed by Maxwell's equations and is basal in an appropriate reference frame RF.
Three levels of observation:

1. At basic level, EM field appears as EM fluid, i.e., involves equations analogous to fluid dynamics.
Analogy based on alternative relativistic dynamics.
2. At secondary level: Changes of w combined into overall energy migration with effective velocity $=\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{C}}$, expressions for $\mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ as for classical relativistic dynamics.
3. Movement particle as a whole: energy flow is relevant. Hence, for such movement and interactions: as for item 2.

Dynamic flow equations suggest to examine an electromagnetic (EM) field that is basal, circularly symmetric about an axis, and is rotating steadily around that axis.
Use spherical coordinates $r, \theta, \varphi$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, y=r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, z=r \cos \theta, \\
& d V=r^{2} \sin \theta d r d \theta d \varphi, \quad \text { vector } a=\left(a_{r}, a_{\theta}, a_{\varphi}\right)^{\top} \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

To be precise, assume

1. $\mathbf{v}=(0,0, v)^{\top}, \quad i=(0,0, i)^{\top}, \mathbf{i}_{0}=\mathbf{0}$.
2. Field symmetrical about equatorial plane.

Then: $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{S}_{\theta}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{j}_{\theta}=\mathrm{E}_{\varphi}=\mathrm{H}_{\varphi}=\mathrm{E}_{O \varphi}=\mathrm{H}_{O \varphi}=\gamma_{\varphi}=\underline{\gamma} \underline{\gamma}^{\top} \mathbf{i}=0$
For field thus specified can determine
$Q=\int_{V} q d V=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} r d r \int_{0}^{\pi} q \sin \theta d \theta=$ total charge,


Quantity $\quad \tilde{F}=\frac{\mathrm{Q}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{Q}}^{2}}{\hat{\mathrm{~L}}} \quad$ is dimensionless and
can be determined by purely mathematical procedure involving strictly no physical parameter.
Compare result with so-called fine-structure constant
$F=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 h \varepsilon c}=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 h} \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \approx \frac{1}{137}, Q=$ electron charge,
$h=$ Planck constant, $\quad$ electron spin $=\frac{h}{4 \pi}=\frac{1}{2} \hbar$.
Is analogy between $\tilde{F}$ and $F$ purely accidental
or hint for valid electron model?
Important: Determine numerical value of $\tilde{F}$.
Also of interest: Reoccuring debate: is F time independent?
Compare result with double nature of number $\pi$, e.g.:
physical: $\pi=\frac{\text { circumference }}{\text { diameter }}$, mathematical: $\pi=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}$ etc.

Field moving with speed $v=c$ in unique direction ( $x$ - axis):
Know: $v=c \Rightarrow E^{\top} H=0, \varepsilon E^{2}=\mu H^{2}$

$$
S_{x} \neq 0, \quad S_{y}=S_{z}=0 \Rightarrow E_{x}=H_{x}=0
$$

Altogether: $\sqrt{\varepsilon} E_{y}=\sqrt{\mu} H_{z}, \sqrt{\varepsilon} E_{z}=-\sqrt{\mu} H_{y}$
Then: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{y}+c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_{y}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} E_{z}+c \frac{\partial}{\partial x} E_{z}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y} E_{z}-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} E_{y}=0$

$$
\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{z}}=0, \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{cq}, \quad \mathrm{q}=\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{y}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)
$$

Require: $E_{y}$ and $E_{z}$ must vanish at infinity.
Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{y}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(y-\hat{y}) q(x-c t, \hat{y}, \hat{z})}{\hat{d}^{2}} d \hat{y} d \hat{z} \\
& E_{z}=\frac{1}{2 \pi \varepsilon} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{(z-\hat{z}) q(x-c t, \hat{y}, \hat{z})}{\hat{d}^{2}} d \hat{y} d \hat{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\hat{d}=\sqrt{(y-\hat{y})^{2}+(z-\hat{z})^{2}}=\operatorname{distance}\{(y, z),(\hat{y}, \hat{z})\}$ for $x, t$ fixed.
Field locally planar, transversal , propagates unidirectionally.

Field obtained has properties of photon:

1. Propagates in single direction, with speed of light c .
2. Field is transversal and effectively polarized (linear, circular).
3. Energy $\mathrm{W}=\tilde{\hbar} \Omega$ where $\tilde{\hbar}=$ const., $\Omega=$ nominal frequency. Plausible: $\tilde{\hbar}=\hbar$.
4. Reference frame RF' moving with constant $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{O}}$ : transforms given field into new field of exactly same nature.
5. Exhibits known relativistic Doppler effects: longitudinal as well as lateral.
6. Its momentum is equal to W/c.
7. Its rest energy and its rest mass are equal to zero.
8. Field is electrically neutral: total charge $\mathrm{Q}=0$.
9. Magnetic moment is zero.
10. Rotating or oscillating charge densities compatible with: total positive and total negative charges remain constant.
Makes plausible: annihilation $\mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{+}$, pair production ( $\mathrm{e}^{-}, \mathrm{e}^{+}$).
11. Field is localized: $q$ concentrated in small volume.

Hence, model behaves like particle.
12. Is like a general modulated signal, suppressed carrier, where nominal frequency $\Omega=$ carrier frequency.
Hence, model behaves like wave.
13. From 11. and 12. conclude:

Is simultaneously particle and wave.
Obtain natural explanation of wave-particle duality.
14. Uncertainty: $(\Delta x / 2)(\Delta p / 2) \geq \tilde{\hbar} / 2$, where
$\Delta x=$ spread in length $=c \Delta t$,
$\Delta \mathrm{p}=$ spread of momentum $=\Delta \omega \cdot \tilde{\hbar} / \mathrm{c}, \Delta \omega=$ bandwidth.
15. In dispersive media, travels with 2 distinct velocities:

Carrier with phase velocity, energy with group velocity.
16. Exhibits gravitational red-shift of same size
as that known from General Relativity.
17. Results from lateral Doppler effect appear compatible with correct deflection by star.

Speculation. $\Delta \mathrm{h}=$ inherent inaccuracy of Planck "constant".
Possibly, $\frac{\Delta h}{h}=\frac{\Delta \hbar}{\hbar} \sim\left(\frac{\Delta \omega}{\Omega}\right)^{2}$ where $\Delta \omega=$ (effective) bandwidth.
Question: For given $\Omega$, ideal configuration not unique?

Movement of electromagnetic (EM) particles in external
EM field and/or gravitational field.

- Desired dynamic equations follow by integrating flow equations of EM field over relevant volume and exploiting symmetry properties of model.
Find: $\frac{d J_{p}}{d t}=F_{e}, \frac{d W_{p}}{d t}=v_{p}^{\top} F_{e}$, where
$J_{p}=$ momentum,$W_{p}=$ energy, $\mathbf{v}_{p}=$ velocity of particle

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}=\text { force on particle due to external field. }
$$

In vector field derived from potential U:

$$
\text { External } E M \text { field } F_{e}=-Q \nabla U, \mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\top} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}=-\frac{\mathrm{d}(Q U)}{\mathrm{dt}}
$$

External gravitational field: $F_{e}=-M_{p} \nabla U, \quad v_{p}^{\top} F_{e}=-\frac{d\left(M_{p} U\right)}{d t}$,
where $Q=$ charge,$M_{p}=$ relevant mass of particle $=\frac{W_{p}}{c^{2}}$.

## Further properties of electromagnetic (EM) particles

1. Momentum/energy characterized not by scalar mass
but by matrix (tensor) with circular symmetry,
in fact by an oblate spheroid.
Note: Not a rigid body, thus not simply a gyroscope.
2. In an external field, particle always assumes position requiring minimum work/energy.
Corresponding inertial mass is $M=W / c^{2}$.
3. Lateral kinetic energy involves mass 2 M , corresponding to double gravitational force (relevant for deflection ?).
4. de Broglie relation, Schrödinger equation, relativistic extension.

Nominal (mean) values of major characteristics of rotating field (like electron)
Start from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{0}=\int_{V} m_{0} \mathrm{~d} V, \quad M_{c 0}=\int_{V} m_{c 0} \mathrm{~d} V, \quad m_{0}=2 m_{c 0}=2 \frac{w_{0}}{c^{2}} \\
& W=M_{c} c^{2}=\int_{V} w \mathrm{~d} V, \quad w=\frac{1+\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} w_{0}=\frac{w_{0}}{\alpha_{c}}, \quad W_{0}=\int_{V} w_{0} \mathrm{~d} V \\
& W_{k}=W-W_{0}=\int_{V} w_{k} \mathrm{~d} V, \quad w_{k}=\frac{2 \beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} w_{0}=\beta \beta_{c} w=\omega l \\
& \beta_{c}=\frac{2 \beta}{1+\beta^{2}}, \quad \omega=\frac{v}{R} \\
& L=\int_{V} l \mathrm{~d} V \quad l=R j, \quad j=\frac{v}{\alpha^{2}} m_{0}=\frac{v_{c}}{\alpha_{c}} m_{c 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

$R=$ distance from axis

For determining nominal $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \bar{\alpha}_{c}, \bar{\beta}_{c}, \bar{R}, \bar{v}, \bar{v}_{c}, \bar{\omega} \quad$ require

$$
\begin{aligned}
W=c^{2} M_{c} & =\int_{V} \frac{1+\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} w_{0} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{1+\bar{\beta}^{2}}{\bar{\alpha}^{2}} \int_{V} w_{0} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{1+\bar{\beta}^{2}}{\bar{\alpha}^{2}} W_{0} \\
& =\int_{V} \frac{w_{0}}{\alpha_{c}} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_{c}} \int_{V} w_{0} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{1}{\bar{\alpha}_{c}} W_{0}=\text { total energy }=2 L \Omega_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
L=\int_{V} \frac{2 \beta}{c \alpha^{2}} R w_{0} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{2}{c \bar{\alpha}^{2}} \bar{R} W_{0}
$$

$$
=\int_{V} \frac{\beta_{c}}{c \alpha_{c}} R w_{0} \mathrm{~d} V=\frac{\bar{\beta}_{c}}{c \bar{\alpha}_{c}} \bar{R} W_{0}=\frac{\bar{\beta}_{c}}{c} \bar{R} W=\text { angular momentum }=\bar{R} \bar{J}
$$

$$
\text { where } \quad \bar{J}=L / \bar{R}=\text { nominal momentum }
$$

$$
\bar{\alpha}=\sqrt{1-\bar{\beta}^{2}}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{c}=\sqrt{1-\bar{\beta}_{c}^{2}}, \quad \bar{v}=c \bar{\beta}=\bar{w} \bar{R}, \quad \bar{v}_{c}=c \overline{\beta_{c}}, \quad \Omega_{g}=\frac{c}{2 \bar{R} \bar{\beta}_{c}}
$$

In particular: $\bar{\beta}_{c} \bar{R}=\frac{L}{c M_{c}}, \quad \bar{\beta}_{c}=\frac{2 \bar{\beta}}{1+\bar{\beta}^{2}}, \quad W_{k}=W-W_{0}=\bar{\omega} L$

## Summary of nominal values

Have obtained:
$\bar{\beta}, \quad \bar{\alpha}=\sqrt{1-\bar{\beta}^{2}}, \quad \bar{v}=c \bar{\beta} \quad$ nominal field velocity
$\bar{\beta}_{c}, \quad \bar{\alpha}_{c}=\sqrt{1-\bar{\beta}_{c}^{2}}, \quad \bar{v}_{c}=c \bar{\beta}_{c} \quad$ nominal energy velocity
$\bar{R}=$ nominal radius (distance from axis)
$\bar{\omega}=$ nominal angular velocity
Comments

1. Results hold also if field not circularly symmetric.
2. In view of their simple relationship to the basic parameters of the particle, the nominal values derived above are highly useful for offering wanted insight. Nevertheless, a detailed picture can only be gained from the full set of PDEs describing the device.

Assume now field to be a particle, indeed an electron.
Definitions correspond to those above, but for clarity add, if needed, subscripts " $e$ " for "electron", "0" for "at rest".
Obtain:
$M_{e 0}=$ mass of electron at rest $=M_{c}=$ "classical" electron mass
$W_{e 0}=c^{2} M_{e 0}=\frac{2 c L_{e}}{R_{g}}=2 L_{e} \Omega_{g}=\hbar \Omega_{g}=$ energy of electron at rest
$L_{e}=\frac{1}{2} c M_{c} R_{g}=$ angular momentum of electron $=\frac{\hbar}{2}$
Have identified $L_{e}$ with electron spin, defined $\Omega_{g}$ by

$$
\Omega_{g}=\frac{c}{R_{g}}
$$

Characteristic radius $R_{g}$ to be explained hereafter.

Definition and properties of $R_{g^{\prime}}, R_{0}$, and $\bar{R}$ :

$$
R_{g}=2 R_{0}=\frac{2 L_{e}}{c M_{e 0}}=\frac{\hbar}{c M_{e 0}}=\sqrt{R_{c} R_{B}}=\text { characteristic radius }
$$

= geometric mean of:
$R_{c}=$ classical electron radius, $R_{B}=$ Bohr radius.
$\frac{R_{c}}{R_{g}}=\frac{R_{g}}{R_{B}}=F_{s}=$ (Sommerfeld's) fine structure constant
Obtain from above and with $\Omega$ as defined hereafter,

$$
2 \bar{v}_{c} \bar{R}=c R_{g}, \quad \frac{\bar{v}_{c}}{R_{g}}=\frac{c}{2 \bar{R}}=: \Omega
$$

and for nominal momentum

$$
\bar{J}_{e}=\frac{L_{e}}{\bar{R}}=\bar{v}_{c} M_{e 0}
$$

Electron cannot possibly perfectly admit ideal configuration as assumed in model. In practice, therefore:

An electron consists of two additively superposed fields:

- Prime field = ideal field, satisfies original Maxwell's PDEs.
- Co-field: satisfies Maxwell's PDEs with $\mathbf{i}$ and $q$ set to zero.

For co-field thus exists an EM (electromagnetic) wave equation

$$
\Delta \psi=\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}, \quad \Delta=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

where $\psi$ stands for any of the 6 components of $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$.
Stability implies: co-field, like prime field is standing wave. Hence, there must exist a wavelength $\Lambda$ such that

$$
\frac{\Lambda}{2}=2 \pi \bar{R}, \quad \text { thus } \quad 4 \pi \bar{R}=\Lambda
$$

Recall: For basic wavelength $\Lambda$ of (electromagnetic!) co-field:

$$
\frac{\Lambda}{2}=2 \pi \bar{R}, \quad \text { thus } \quad \Lambda=4 \pi \bar{R}
$$

Corresponding wave number $K$ and angular frequency $\Omega$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\frac{2 \pi}{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{2 \bar{R}}=\frac{\bar{v}_{c}}{c R_{g}}=\frac{\bar{v}_{c} M_{e 0}}{2 L_{e}} \\
& \Omega=c K=\frac{c}{2 \bar{R}}=\frac{\bar{v}_{c}}{R_{g}}, \quad \Omega \text { being as defined before. }
\end{aligned}
$$

For nominal momentum find then

$$
\bar{J}_{e}=\frac{L_{e}}{\overline{\bar{R}}}=2 L_{e} K
$$

This immediately yields

$$
\bar{J}_{e}=\hbar K
$$

thus the de Broglie relation.

Recall:

$$
\Delta \psi-\frac{1}{c^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial t^{2}}=0
$$

Assume $\psi=\Psi e^{i \Omega t}$. Find time-independent equation
(Helmholtz equation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Psi+K^{2} \Psi=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad K=\frac{1}{2 \bar{R}}=\frac{\bar{v}_{c}}{c R_{g}}, \quad K^{2}=\frac{\bar{v}_{c}^{2}}{c^{2} R_{g}^{2}}=\frac{M_{e \rho}^{2} \bar{v}_{c}^{2}}{4 L_{e}^{2}}=\frac{M_{e e}^{2} \bar{v}_{c}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}$
If $v_{c}$ were non-relativistic could write

$$
W_{e k}=\frac{1}{2} M_{e 0}^{2} \bar{v}_{c}^{2}, \quad K^{2}=2 \frac{M_{e 0}}{\hbar^{2}} W_{e k}, \quad W_{p k}=\text { kinetic energy }
$$

(1) exactly like Schrödinger equation (yet: isolated electron!).

In reality: $\bar{v}_{c}$ relativistic, yet (1) remains being a valid time-independent Schrödinger-type equation.

## Electron in atomic shell

Presence of central potential does not affect validity of above general theory. However:
$\bar{v}_{c}$ must be replaced by $v_{e}=$ energy velocity electron: $v_{e} \ll \bar{v}_{c}$ $\overline{\bar{R}}$ will be larger (careful: change of notation not needed). $R_{g}$ : imposed by given energy and angular momentum.

If $v_{e}$ is indeed non-relativistic, obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \Psi+K^{2} \Psi=0  \tag{2}\\
K^{2}=2 \frac{M_{e 0}}{\hbar^{2}} W_{e k}, \quad W_{e k}=\frac{1}{2} M_{e 0}^{2} v_{e}^{2}, \quad W_{e k}=\text { kinetic energy }
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (2) is precisely time-independent Schrödinger equation. Relativistic case: omit non-relativistic approximation.

Recall:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Psi+K^{2} \Psi=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
K^{2}=2 \frac{M_{e 0}}{\hbar^{2}} W_{e k}, \quad W_{e k}=\text { kinetic energy }
$$

These relations depend only on electron at rest and its kinetic energy, not on $\bar{R}$. They thus do not contain any quantity referring to a rotational behaviour and may therefore be assumed to remain valid for movements of, say, linear type.

Consequently, the time-independent Schrödinger equation applies also in cases like quantum wells etc.
The field of which the electron consists then appears to be stretched out.

Relativistic case: omit non-relativistic approximation.

## Comments:

- All results obtained exclusively from Maxwell's equations, assuming their validity also at smallest dimensions, thus also inside of the electromagnetic elementary particles.
- The Schrödinger equation is based on nominal field values only. It therefore does not describe the detailed behaviour of the main field inside of a particle.
- The Schrödinger function describes a field of electromagnetic (EM) nature, i.e., the co-field, and is thus in fact deterministic. It is therefore nowhere of intrinsic probabilistic nature, as assumed by the so-called Copenhagen interpretation.
- For a given EM particle there exist an uncountable infinity of possible co-fields. In that sense we are dealing with a problem of extrinsic probabilistic nature.


## Speculations and comments:

1.Elementary particles: compressed fields, governed by nonlinear PDEs (partial differential equations).
2. Correspondingly for particles composed of elementary ones.
3. Only specific configurations are stable: quantum states.
4. Quantum jumps: transitions between stable states, governed by dynamic processes in field.
5. Comparison with digital world: digital communications, digital signal processing, digital control engineering etc.; digital computers, computer science; worldwide, highly-interconnected internet. In all these, may fully ignore existence of switching dynamics. Yet: IC designers must be highly aware of many major details.
6. Position space (= space spanned by position coordinates) is where there is electromagnetic (EM) field.
7. Most EM fields not compressed but highly thinned out, thus vagabonding with field/energy velocity $<\mathrm{c}$.

## Dark matter ?

8. EM particles: condensed turbulences in EM sea.
9. In particles: exist fluctuations around equilibrium, statistical.
10. Solves wave-particle duality.
11. In presence of other forces, the field of a particle will be distorted, spread out, or even torn apart.

Could explain quantum paradoxes and other properties.
Examples: double slit experiment,
behaviour in quantum wells and atomic shells.
12. How ensure stability of a field configuration, thus of given multidimensional (MD) system?
Needed: generalization of 2nd method of Lyapunov.
13. For achieving global stability recall some basic principles of wave-digital method for robust numerical integration:
a) Given physically passive system $S$ in $t, r=(x, y, z)^{\top}$.

Transform $\mathrm{t}, \mathbf{r} \rightarrow \mathbf{t}=\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{t}_{4}\right)^{\top}, \mathrm{t}_{\kappa}$ time-like, $\kappa=1$ to 4 .
Thus, $S \rightarrow$ new system S'. Can make S' MD causal (all v $\leq c$ ).
b) Find MD Kirchhoff circuit K representing $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$.

Require K to be internally MD passive.
Yields $\mathbf{W}=\left(W_{1}, \ldots, W_{4}\right)^{\top}=\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{t}) \geq \mathbf{0} \forall$ field variable values.
$W_{\kappa}=$ stored energy associated with $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{K}}, \kappa=1$ to 4 .
This $\mathbf{W}$ is desired MD Lyapunov vector function.
14. If system is nonlinear etc., global stability not sufficient. How ensure also local stability of a field configuration?
Two possible approaches:
a) In neighborhood of any relevant point approximate system by a linear constant system.
Determine system determinant.
Apply theory of multidimensional (MD) Hurwitz polynomials:
MD scattering Hurwitz polynomials etc.
b) Else: approximate by slowly varying system.

Represent this by new MD Kirchhoff circuit.
Ensure its internal MD passivity.
Yields further MD Lyapunov vector function.
15. Apply Schrödinger-type equation to isolated electron.

Examine consequences for determining

- complete solution of ideal field (main field),
- fine structure constant,
- gyromagnetic ratio.

16. For basal field establish full equivalence between complete set of flow equations and Maxwell's equations (done).
17. Generalize complete flow equations to include gravitation. Find: Gravitational field is accompanied by a partner field, like electric field is accompanied by its partner, the magnetic field.
Basic ideas confirmed, details need to be worked out. Difficult but challenging task ahead.


## Wörtlich

Was jedermann für ausgemacht hält, verdient am meisten untersucht zu werden.

GeorgCh.Lichtenberg Physiker und Schriftsteller


## "What everybody takes as assured, deserves most to be investigated."

Georg Ch. Lichtenberg, physicist and writer, 1742-1799

